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This School Accountability Report Card (SARC) provides information that can be used to evaluate and compare schools. State and federal laws require all schools to publish a SARC each year.

The information in this report represents the 2006-2007 school year, not the current school year. In most cases, this is the most recent data available. We present our school's results next to those of the average elementary school in the county and state to provide the most meaningful and fair comparisons. To find additional facts about our school online, please use the DataQuest tool offered by the California Department of Education.

If you are reading a printed version of this report, note that words that appear in a smaller, bold typeface are links in the online version of this report to even more information. You can find a master list of those linked words, and the Web page addresses they are connected to, at:
http://www.schoolwisepress.com/sarc/ links_2007_en.html
Reports about other schools are available on the California Department of Education Web site. Internet access is available in local libraries.
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## Principal's Message

The Wheatland Charter Academy has become a viable educational alternative for children and families of the Wheatland community as well as the Yuba-Sutter area. The charter is founded on a dual program that allows us to have a kindergarten through fifth grade Montessori program as well as a kindergarten through twelfth grade Independent Study program. The school completed its sixth year of operation in the 20062007 school year.
Our Academic Performance Index (API) scores continue to climb. Graduation rates remain higher than the state average and we have a nearly zero percent dropout rate.
Currently we are working to attain full statewide accreditation for our Independent Study program for ninth through twelfth grade students. We also are developing a broader scope of Montessori instruction in relation to the California Content Standards.

Debra M. Pearson

Grade range and calendar
K-12
TRADITIONAL
Academic
Performance Index
761
County Average: 762
State Average: 763
Student enrollment
157
County Average: 383
State Average: 531

## Teachers

8
County Average: 20
State Average: 26
Students per teacher
20
County Average: 19
State Average: 20
Students per
computer
5
County Average: 4
State Average: 5

## Major Achievements

- Our Academic Performance Index (API) rose 20 points from last year. We also met or exceeded all API and Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) standards. Sixty-seven percent of all students scored Proficient or higher in English/language arts, and 71 percent met the same criteria in mathematics.
- Ongoing staff training and the use of technology have greatly improved both teacher and student performance. Combining one-to-one instruction in the Independent Study program with Montessori instruction for classroom-based students has improved the ability of our students to learn.
- Each year Montessori students participate in a variety of field trips, including visits to art museums, performing arts theaters, farms, and fish and game management sites. These activities are coordinated with units of study using Montessori instruction.
- Two of our teachers were awarded County Awards for Educators That Make a Difference.


## Focus for Improvement

- In the Montessori Academy, we will continue to focus on matching state standards to Montessori lessons.
- Staff training will continue to focus on instructional strategies. We will further our application and use of the Step Up to Writing program to improve writing strategies. Technology will advance in the California Technology Assistance Project (CTAP) for Web-based learning experiences within our classroom and the introduction of computer-based foreign language curriculum to provide the experience of language advancement to our students.
- We will continue to use data to guide our decisions regarding instruction and the processes that foster student achievement.


## MEASURES OF PROGRESS

## Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is California's way of comparing schools based on student test scores. The index was created in 1999 to help parents and educators recognize schools that show progress and identify schools that need help. A school's API determines whether it receives recognition or sanctions. It is also used to compare schools in a statewide ranking system. The California Department of Education (CDE) calculates our school's API using student test results from the California Standards Tests, the California Achievement Test, and, for high schools, the California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE). APIs range from 200 to 1000 . The CDE expects all schools to eventually obtain APIs of at least 800. Additional information on the API can be found on the CDE Web site.

Wheatland's API was 761 (out of 1000). This is an increase of 20 points compared to last year's API. About 96 percent of our students took the test. You can find three years of detailed API results in the Data Almanac that accompanies this report.
API RANKINGS: Based on our 2005-2006 test results, we started the 2006-2007 school year with an API base score of 741 . The state ranks all schools according

| CALIFORNIA <br> AP |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDEX |  |

SOURCE: API based on spring 2007 test cycle. Growth scores alone are displayed and are current as of March 2008.
*Ethnic or socioeconomic groups of students that make up 15 percent or more of a school's student body. These groups must meet AYP and challenge by school. N/A - Results not available. to this score on a scale from 1 to 10 ( 10 being highest). Compared to all elementary schools in California, our school ranked 5 out of 10 .
API GROWTH TARGETS: Each year the CDE sets specific API "growth targets" for every school. It assigns one growth target for the entire school, and it sets additional targets for ethnic or socioeconomic subgroups of students that make up a significant portion of the student body. Schools are required to meet all of their growth targets. If they do, they may be eligible to apply for awards through the California School Recognition Program and the Title I Achieving Schools Program.
We met our assigned growth targets during the 2006-2007 school year. Just for reference, 51 percent of elementary schools statewide met their growth targets.

## API, Spring 2007



## Adequate Yearly Progress

In addition to California's accountability system, which measures student achievement using the API, schools must also meet requirements set by the federal education law known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB). This law requires all schools to meet a different goal: Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

We met all six criteria for yearly progress. As a result, we succeeded at making AYP.
To meet AYP, elementary and middle schools must meet three criteria. First, a certain percentage of students must score at or above Proficient levels on the California Standards Tests (CST): 24.4 percent on the English/language arts test and 26.5 percent on the math test. All ethnic and socioeconomic subgroups of students also must meet these goals. Second, the schools must achieve an API of at least 590 or increase the API by one point from the prior year. Third, 95 percent of the student body must take the required standardized tests.
If even one subgroup of students fails to meet just one of the criteria, the school fails to meet AYP. While all schools must report their progress toward meeting AYP, only schools that receive federal funding to help economically disadvantaged students are actually penalized if they fail to meet AYP goals. Schools that do not make AYP for two or more years in a row in the same subject enter Program Improvement (PI). They must offer students transfers to other schools in the district and, in their second year in PI, tutoring services as well.

## Adequate Yearly Progress, Detail by Subgroup MET GOAL DID NOT MEET GOAL - NOT ENOUGH STUDENTS

|  | English/Language Arts |  | Math |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DID 95\% OF STUDENTS TAKE THE CST? | DID 24.4\% OF STUDENTS SCORE PROFICIENT OR ADVANCED ON THE CST? | DID 95\% OF STUDENTS TAKE THE CST? | DID 26.5\% OF STUDENTS SCORE PROFICIENT OR ADVANCED ON THE CST? |
| SCHOOLWIDE RESULTS |  |  |  |  |

[^0]| FEDERAL <br> AYP |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS |  |$|$| Met AYP | Yes |
| :--- | :---: |
| Met schoolwide <br> participation rate | Yes |
| Met schoolwide test <br> Score goals | Yes |
| Met subgroup* <br> participation rate | N/A |
| Met subgroup* test <br> score goals | N/A |
| Met schoolwide API <br> for AYP | Yes |
| Program <br> lmprovement <br> School in 2007 | No |

SOURCE: AYP is based on the Accountability Progress Report of March 2008. A school can be in program improvement based on students earlier.
*Ethnic or socioeconomic groups of students that make up 15 percent or more of a school's
student body. These groups must meet AYP and AP goals R/P - Results pending due to AYP and challenge by school. N/A - Results not available.

The table at left shows our success or failure in meeting AYP goals in the 2006-2007 school year. The green dots represent goals we met; red dots indicate goals we missed. Just one red dot means that we failed to meet Adequate Yearly Progress.

Note: Dashes indicate that too few students were in the category to draw meaningful conclusions. Federal law requires valid test scores from at least 50 students for statistical significance.

## STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

Here you'll find a three-year summary of our students' scores on the California Standards Tests (CST) in selected subjects. We compare our students' test scores to the results for students in the average elementary school in California. On the following pages we provide more detail for each test, including the scores for different subgroups of students. In addition, we provide links to the California Content Standards on which these tests are based. If you'd like more information about the CST, please contact our principal or our teaching staff. To find grade-level-specific scores, you can refer to the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Web site. Other tests in the STAR program can be found on the California Department of Education (CDE) Web site.

## California Standards Tests

BAR GRAPHS BELOW SHOW THESE PROFICIENCY GROUPS (LEFT TO RIGHT):
$\square$ FAR BELOW BASIC BELOW BASIC BASIC PROFICIENT $\square$ ADVANCED

|  | 2006-2007 |  | 2005-2006 |  | 2004-2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TESTED SUBJECT | LOW Scores | HIGH SCORES | LOW SCORES | HIGH SCORES | LOW SCORES | HIGH SCORES |
| ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Our school |  |  | T |  |  |  |
| Percent Proficient or higher |  | 68\% |  | 66\% |  | 53\% |
| Average elementary school |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Proficient or higher |  | 45\% |  | 44\% |  | 41\% |



## SCIENCE



[^1] Therefore, the results published in this report may vary from other published CDE test scores.

## Frequently Asked Questions About Standardized Tests

WHERE CAN I FIND GRADE-LEVEL REPORTS? Due to space constraints and concern for statistical reliability, we have omitted grade-level detail from these test results. Instead we present results at the schoolwide level. You can view the results of far more students than any one grade level would contain, which also improves their statistical reliability. Grade-level results are online on the STAR Web site. More information about student test scores is available in the Data Almanac that accompanies this report.

WHAT DO THE FIVE PROFICIENCY BANDS MEAN? Test experts assign students to one of these five proficiency levels, based on the number of questions they answer correctly. Our immediate goal is to help students move up one level. Our eventual goal is to enable all students to reach either of the top two bands, Advanced or Proficient. Those who score in the middle band, Basic, have come close to attaining the required knowledge and skills. Those who score in either of the bottom two bands-Below Basic or Far Below Basic-need more help to reach the Proficient level.

## WHY ARE THE CALIFORNIA STANDARDS TESTS (CST) AND THE CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TEST (CAT/6)

 SCORED DIFFERENTLY? When students take the CST, they can score at any of the proficiency levels: Advanced, Proficient, Basic, Below Basic, or Far Below Basic. In theory all students in California could score at the top. The CAT/6 is a nationally normed test, which means that students are scored against each other nationally. This scoring method is similar to grading "on the curve." CAT/6 scores are expressed as a ranking on a scale from 1 to 99.HOW HARD ARE THE CALIFORNIA STANDARDS TESTS? Experts consider California's standards to be among the most clear and rigorous in the country. Just 45 percent of elementary school students scored Proficient or Advanced on the English/language arts test; 53 percent scored Proficient or Advanced in math. You can review the California Content Standards on the CDE Web site.

ARE ALL STUDENTS' SCORES INCLUDED? No. Only students in grades two through eleven are required to take the CSTs. When fewer than 11 students in one grade or subgroup take a test, state officials remove their scores from the report. They omit them to protect students' privacy, as called for by federal law.
CAN I REVIEW SAMPLE TEST QUESTIONS? Sample test questions for the CST are on the CDE's Web site. These are actual questions used in previous years.
WHERE CAN I FIND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION? The CDE has a wealth of resources on its Web site. The STAR Web site publishes detailed reports for schools and districts, and assistance packets for parents and teachers. This site includes explanations of technical terms, scoring methods, and the subjects covered by the tests for each grade. You'll also find a guide to navigating the STAR Web site as well as help understanding how to compare test scores.

## English/Language Arts (Reading and Writing)

## BAR GRAPHS BELOW SHOW THESE PROFICIENCY GROUPS (LEFT TO RIGHT):

 $\square$ FAR BELOW BASIC BELOW BASIC BASIC $\square$ PROFICIENT ■ ADVANCED| GROUP | LOw SCORES | HIGH SCORES | PROFICIENT <br> OR <br> ADVANCED | STUDENTS <br> TESTED | COMMENTS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOLWIDE AVERAGE |  |  | $68 \%$ | $99 \%$ | SCHOOLWIDE AVERAGE: About 23 percent more stu- <br> dents at our school scored Proficient or Advanced than at |
| the average elementary school in California. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Subgroup Test Scores

BAR GRAPHS BELOW SHOW TWO PROFICIENCY GROUPS (LEFT TO RIGHT):
$\square$ FAR BELOW BASIC, BELOW BASIC, AND BASIC ■ PROFICIENT AND ADVANCED

| GROUP | LOW SCORES HIGH SCORES | PROFICIENT OR <br> ADVANCED | STUDENTS TESTED | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boys | NO DATA AVAILABLE | N/A | 32 | GENDER: The number of boys who took this test is too small to be counted in this analysis. |
| Girls |  | 85\% | 46 |  |
| English proficient |  | 67\% | 75 | ENGLISH PROFICIENCY: We cannot compare scores for these two subgroups because the number of English |
| English learners | NO DATA AVAILABLE | N/A | 3 | learners tested was either zero or too small to be statistically significant. |
| Low income | NO DATA AVAILABLE | N/A | 14 | INCOME: We cannot compare scores for these two subgroups because the number of students tested from low- |
| Not low income |  | 80\% | 64 | income families was either zero or too small to be statistically significant. |
| Learning disabled | NO DATA AVAILABLE | N/A | 8 | LEARNING DISABILITIES: We cannot compare scores for these two subgroups because the number of students |
| Not learning disabled |  | 70\% | 71 | tested with learning disabilities was either zero or too small to be statistically significant. |
| White/Other |  | 75\% | 45 | ETHNICITY: Test scores are likely to vary among students of different ethnic origins. The degree of variance will differ from school to school. Measures of the achievement gap are beyond the scope of this report. |

SOURCE: The scores for the CST are from the spring 2007 test cycle. County and state averages represent elementary schools only. Whenever a school reports fewer than 11 scores for a particular subgroup at any grade level, the CDE suppresses the scores when it releases the data to the public. Missing data makes it impossible for us to compile complete schoolwide
results. Therefore, the results published in this report may vary from other published CDE test scores.
N/A: Not applicable. Either no students took the test, or to safeguard student privacy the CDE withheld all results because very few students took the test in any grade.
N/S: Not statistically significant. While we have some data to report, we are suppressing it because the number of valid test scores is not large enough to be meaningful.

The graph to the right shows how our students' scores have changed over the years. We present each year's results in a vertical bar, with students' scores arrayed across five proficiency bands. When viewing schoolwide results over time, remember that progress can take many forms. It can be more students scoring in the top proficiency bands (blue); it can also be fewer students scoring in the lower two proficiency bands (brown and red).
You can read the California standards for English/ language arts on the CDE's Web site.


## Math

BAR GRAPHS BELOW SHOW THESE PROFICIENCY GROUPS (LEFT TO RIGHT): $\square$ FAR BELOW BASIC BELOW BASIC BASIC $\square$ PROFICIENT ■ ADVANCED

| GROUP | LOW SCORES | HIGH SCORES | PROFICIENT <br> OR <br> ADVANCED | STUDENTS <br> TESTED | COMMENTS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOLWIDE AVERAGE |  |  | $94 \%$ | $97 \%$ | SCHOOLWIDE AVERAGE: About 41 percent more stu- <br> dents at our shool scored Proficient or Advanced than at |
| the average elementary school in California. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Subgroup Test Scores

BAR GRAPHS BELOW SHOW TWO PROFICIENCY GROUPS (LEFT TO RIGHT):
$\square$ FAR BELOW BASIC, BELOW BASIC, AND BASIC $\square$ PROFICIENT AND ADVANCED

| GROUP | LOW SCORES HIGH SCORES | $\begin{gathered} \text { PROFICIENT } \\ \text { OR } \\ \text { ADVANCED } \end{gathered}$ | STUDENTS TESTED | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boys | NO DATA AVAILABLE | N/A | 25 | GENDER: The number of boys who took this test is too small to be counted in this analysis. |
| Girls |  | 92\% | 31 |  |
| English proficient |  | 97\% | 53 | ENGLISH PROFICIENCY: We cannot compare scores for these two subgroups because the number of English |
| English learners | NO DATA AVAILABLE | N/A | 3 | learners tested was either zero or too small to be statistically significant. |
| Low income | NO DATA AVAILABLE | N/A | 11 | INCOME: We cannot compare scores for these two subgroups because the number of students tested from low- |
| Not low income |  | 92\% | 45 | income families was either zero or too small to be statistically significant. |
| Learning disabled | NO DATA AVAILABLE | N/A | 8 | LEARNING DISABILITIES: We cannot compare scores for these two subgroups because the number of students |
| Not learning disabled |  | 100\% | 49 | tested with learning disabilities was either zero or too small to be statistically significant. |
| White/Other |  | 100\% | 32 | ETHNICITY: Test scores are likely to vary among students of different ethnic origins. The degree of variance will differ from school to school. Measures of the achievement gap are beyond the scope of this report. |

SOURCE: The scores for the CST are from the spring 2007 test cycle. County and state averages represent elementary schools only. Whenever a school reports fewer than 11 scores for a particular subgroup at any grade level, the CDE suppresses the scores when it releases the data to the public. Missing data makes it impossible for us to compile complete schoolwide results. Therefore, the results published in this report may vary from other published CDE test scores.
N/A: Not applicable. Either no students took the test, or to safeguard student privacy the CDE withheld all results because very few students took the test in any grade.
N/S: Not statistically significant. While we have some data to report, we are suppressing it because the number of valid test scores is not large enough to be meaningful.

The graph to the right shows how our students' scores have changed over the years. We present each year's results in a vertical bar, with students' scores arrayed across five proficiency bands. When viewing schoolwide results over time, remember that progress can take many forms. It can be more students scoring in the top proficiency bands (blue); it can also be fewer students scoring in the lower two proficiency bands (brown and red).

You can read the math standards on the CDE's Web site.

Three-Year Trend: Math


Percentage of students who took the test: 2005: 92\%
2006: 95\%
2007: 97\%

SOURCE: CDE STAR research file: 2005, 2006, and 2007

## Science

BAR GRAPHS BELOW SHOW THESE PROFICIENCY GROUPS (LEFT TO RIGHT):
$\square$ FAR BELOW BASIC BELOW BASIC BASIC - PROFICIENT ■ ADVANCED

| GROUP | LOw SCORES | HIGH SCORES | PROFICIENT <br> OR <br> ADVANCED | STUDENTS <br> TESTED | COMMENTS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOLWIDE AVERAGE |  | $8 \%$ | $95 \%$ | SCHOOLWIDE AVERAGE: About 29 percent fewer stu- <br> dents at our school scored Proficient or Advanced than at <br> the average elementary school in California. |  |
| AVERAGE ELEMENTARY <br> SCHOOL IN THE COUNTY |  | $34 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |  |
| AVERAGE ELEMENTARY <br> SCHOOL IN CALIFORNIA |  |  |  |  |  |

## Subgroup Test Scores

BAR GRAPHS BELOW SHOW TWO PROFICIENCY GROUPS (LEFT TO RIGHT):
$\square$ FAR BELOW BASIC, BELOW BASIC, AND BASIC - PROFICIENT AND ADVANCED

| GROUP | LOW SCORES HIGH SCORES | PROFICIENT OR ADVANCED | STUDENTS TESTED | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boys | NO DATA AVAILABLE | N/A | 4 | GENDER: We cannot compare scores for these two subgroups because the number of students tested was either zero or too small to be statistically significant. |
| Girls | NO DATA AVAILABLE | N/A | 15 |  |
| English proficient | DATA STATISTICALLY UNRELIABLE | N/S | 19 | ENGLISH PROFICIENCY: We cannot compare scores for these two subgroups because the number of English learners tested was either zero or too small to be statistically significant. |
| English learners | NO DATA AVAILABLE | N/A | N/A |  |
| Low income | NO DATA AVAILABLE | N/A | 3 | INCOME: We cannot compare scores for these two subgroups because the number of students tested was either zero or too small to be statistically significant. |
| Not low income | NO DATA AVAILABLE | N/A | 16 |  |
| Learning disabled | NO DATA AVAILABLE | N/A | 2 | LEARNING DISABILITIES: We cannot compare scores for these two subgroups because the number of students |
| Not learning disabled | DATA STATISTICALLY UNRELIABLE | N/S | 17 | tested with learning disabilities was either zero or too small to be statistically significant. |

SOURCE: The scores for the CST are from the spring 2007 test cycle. County and state averages represent elementary schools only. Whenever a school reports fewer than 11 scores for a particular subgroup at any results. Therefore, the results published in this report may vary from other published CDE test scores.
N/A: Not applicable. Either no students took the test, or to safeguard student privacy the CDE withheld all results because very few students took the test in any grade.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ : Not statistically significant. While we have some data to report, we are suppressing it because the number of valid test scores is not large enough to be meaningful.
The graph to the right shows how our students' scores have changed over the years. We present each year's results in a vertical bar, with students' scores arrayed across five proficiency bands. When viewing schoolwide results over time, remember that progress can take many forms. It can be more students scoring in the top proficiency bands (blue); it can also be fewer students scoring in the lower two proficiency bands (brown and red).

The science standards test was administered only to fifth graders. Of course, students in all grade levels study science in these areas: physical science, life science, earth science, and investigation and experimentation. For background, you can review the science standards by going to the CDE's Web site.


## California Achievement Test (CAT/6)

The CAT/6 differs from the CST in three ways. First, in the spring of 2007, only students in grades three and seven took this test. Second, the CAT/6 is taken by students in other states, which enables us to see how our students are doing compared to other students in the nation. Third, the CAT/6 is scored by comparing students to each other on a scale from 1 to 99 , much like being graded "on the curve." In contrast, the CST scores students against five defined criteria.

| SUBJECT | DESCRIPTION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUR } \\ & \text { SCHOOL } \end{aligned}$ | COUNTY <br> AVERAGE | STATE AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| READING |  |  |  |  |
| High-scoring students | Percentage of students scoring in the top quarter nationally (above the 75th percentile) | 32\% | 14\% | 15\% |
| Students scoring at or above average | Percentage of students scoring in the top half nationally (at or above the 50th percentile) | 74\% | 39\% | 39\% |
| LANGUAGE |  |  |  |  |
| High-scoring students | Percentage of students scoring in the top quarter nationally (above the 75th percentile) | 32\% | 16\% | 19\% |
| Students scoring at or above average | Percentage of students scoring in the top half nationally (at or above the 50th percentile) | 74\% | 43\% | 46\% |
| MATH |  |  |  |  |
| High-scoring students | Percentage of students scoring in the top quarter nationally (above the 75 th percentile) | 68\% | 25\% | 30\% |
| Students scoring at or above average | Percentage of students scoring in the top half nationally (at or above the 50th percentile) | 95\% | 53\% | 56\% |

SOURCE: The scores for the CAT/6 are from the spring 2007 test cycle. County and state averages represent elementary schools only. Whenever a school reports fewer than 11 scores for a particular subgroup at any grade level, the CDE suppresses the scores when it releases the data to the public. Therefore, our test score results may vary from other CDE test score reports when missing data makes it impossible for us to compile complete schoolwide results.
N/A: Not applicable. Either no students took the test, or to safeguard student privacy the CDE withheld all results because very few students took the test in any grade.
STUDENTS SCORING ABOVE AVERAGE: This view of test scores shows the percentage of our students who scored in the top half of students nationally (at the 50th percentile and higher). At Wheatland, 74 percent of students scored at or above average in reading (compared to 39 percent statewide); 74 percent scored at or above average in language (compared to 46 percent statewide); and 95 percent scored at or above average in math (compared to 56 percent statewide). The subject with the most students scoring at or above average was math.

HIGH-SCORING STUDENTS: This view of test scores shows the percentage of our students who scored in the top fourth of students nationally (above the 75th percentile). At Wheatland, 32 percent of students scored at the top in reading (compared to 15 percent statewide); 32 percent scored at the top in language (compared to 19 percent statewide); and 68 percent scored at the top in math (compared to 30 percent statewide). The subject with the most students scoring at the top was math.

## Our CAT/6 Results Compared

Students take this test only in grades three and seven. The values displayed to the right represent the percentage of our students who scored at or above average compared to their peers in the county and state.


## Other Measures of Student Achievement

Every trimester our students take district standards assessments to measure their progress towards reaching the state standards in English/language arts, mathematics, and science. In addition to these tests, end-of-unit assessments, teacher-generated quizzes, Accelerated Reader, and Accelerated Math are used to monitor student achievement. We also use portfolios of student work to identify students who may need additional help. Other measures may include the California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE), California English Language Development Tests (CELDT), and the California Alternative Performance Assessment.

We send the results of district tests home in progress reports. We hold parent conferences twice each year to review progress and graduation requirements. Standards-based report cards are given out each trimester for kindergarten through grade five and each semester for grades six through twelve.

## STUDENTS

## Students' English Language Skills

At Wheatland, 97 percent of students were considered to be proficient in English, compared to 68 percent of elementary school students in California overall.

## Languages Spoken at Home by English Learners

Please note that this table describes the home languages of just the four students classified as English learners. At Wheatland, the language these students most often speak at home is Pilipino (Tagalog). In California it's common to find English learners in classes with students who speak English well. When you visit our classrooms, ask our teachers how they work with language differences among their students.

## Ethnicity

Most students at Wheatland identify themselves as White/European American/Other. In fact, there are about four times as many White/ European American/Other students as Latino/Hispanic students, the secondlargest ethnic group at Wheatland. The state of California allows citizens to choose more than one ethnic identity, or to select "multiethnic" or "decline

| LANGUAGE SKILLS | OUR <br> SCHOOL | COUNTY <br> AVERAGE | STATE <br> AVERAGE |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| English proficient students | $97 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| English learners | $3 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $32 \%$ |

SOURCE: Language Census for school year 2006-2007. County and state averages represent elementary schools only.

| LANGUAGE | OUR <br> SCHOOL | COUNTY <br> AVERAGE | STATE <br> AVERAGE |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Spanish | $25 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Vietnamese | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Cantonese | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Hmong | $0 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Filipino/Tagalog | $75 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Korean | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Khmer/Cambodian | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| All other | $0 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ |

SOURCE: Language Census for school year 2006-2007. County and state averages represent elementary schools only.

| ETHNICITY | OUR <br> SCHOOL | COUNTY <br> AVERAGE | STATE <br> AVERAGE |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| African American | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Asian American/ <br> Pacific Islander | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Latino/Hispanic | $15 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| White/European American/ <br> Other | $67 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $32 \%$ |

SOURCE: CBEDS census of October 2006. County and state averages represent elementary schools only. to state." As a consequence, the sum of all responses rarely equals 100 percent.

## Family Income and Education

The free or reduced-price meal subsidy goes to students whose families earned less than $\$ 37,000$ a year (based on a family of four) in the 2006-2007 school year. At Wheatland, 47 percent of the students qualified for this program, compared to 56 percent of students in California.
The parents of 66 percent of the students at Wheatland have attended college, and 33 percent have a college degree. This information can provide some clues to the level of literacy children bring to school. One precaution is that the students themselves provide this data when they take the battery of standardized tests each spring, so it may not be completely accurate. About 45 percent of our students provided this information.

## CLIMATE FOR LEARNING

## Average Class Sizes

Because funding for class-size reduction was focused on the early grade levels, our school's class sizes, like those of most elementary schools, differ across grades.

The average class size at Wheatland varies across grade levels from a low of 17 students to a high of 21 . Our average class size schoolwide is 18 students. The average class size for elementary schools in the state is 23 students.

## Safety

On campus our Montessori students

| AVERAGE CLASS SIZE BY GRADE | OUR <br> SCHOOL | COUNTY <br> AVERAGE | STATE <br> AVERAGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | 19 | 19 | 20 |
| First grade | 17 | 19 | 19 |
| Second grade | 18 | 19 | 19 |
| Third grade | N/A | 18 | 20 |
| Fourth grade | N/A | 26 | 29 |
| Fifth grade | N/A | 29 | 29 |
| Sixth grade | N/A | 15 | 29 |
| Seventh grade | N/A | 7 | 26 |
| Eighth grade | N/A | 8 | 25 |

SOURCE: CBEDS census, October 2006. County and state averages represent elementary schools only. follow the Wheatland School District standards for safety. We have a safe school plan as well as rules for general student safety, which are included in the parent/student handbook that is given to all registered families. The Montessori Academy is also part of the Yuba County Rapid Responder program. This program helps schools maintain a general plan and provides access to all county safety services, including planning with the Beale Air Force Base operations. Our campus safety plan is revised annually in coordination with Lone Tree School. Visitors to our campus sign in and out through our school office.

Parents are responsible for the safety of Independent Study students, who work from home.

## Discipline

The Montessori approach encourages students to create their own selfdiscipline by observing peers and adults. Guidelines for the Montessori philosophy are described in our parentteacher handbook. Positive reinforcement, combined with consistent expectations for behavior, contributes to a low frequency of serious problems.
Independent Study students are required to abide by the rules at home. We expect them to show common courtesy and respect the rules of their assigned home teacher.

| KEY FACTOR | OUR <br> SCHOOL | DISTRICT <br> AVERAGE | STATE <br> AVERAGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions per 100 students |  |  |  |
| $2006-2007$ | N/A | 0 | 5 |
| $2005-2006$ | N/A | 2 | 5 |
| 2004-2005 | N/A | 5 | 5 |
| Expulsions per 100 students |  |  |  |
| $2006-2007$ | N/A | 0 | 2 |
| $2005-2006$ | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| $2004-2005$ | N/A | 0 | 0 |

SOURCE: Data is from the California Department of Education, SARC research file. Data represents the number
of incidents reported, not the number of students involved. District and state averages represent elementary of incidents reported, not the number of students involved. District and state averages represent elementary
schools only.

At times we find it necessary to suspend students who break school rules. We report only suspensions in which students are sent home for a day or longer. We do not report in-school suspensions, in which students are removed from one or more classes during a single school day. Expulsion is the most serious consequence we can impose. Expelled students are removed from the school permanently and denied the opportunity to continue learning here.

## Homework

Montessori students receive homework that is developmentally appropriate. Each Montessori teacher keeps an interactive Web site that lists daily as well as monthly assignments.
In the Independent Study program, homework that is appropriate for the grade level is assigned at each home visit. Some long-range assignments may make assessments for the next home visit unnecessary.

## Schedule

The Montessori Academy follows a 180-day instructional year that is divided into trimester reporting periods. Classes for fourth and fifth graders begin at 8:10 a.m. and finish at 2:15 p.m. Kindergarten through third grade classes begin at 8:30 a.m. and end at 2:15 p.m. Dismissal on minimum (shortened) days is at 1:15 p.m. for all grades. Office hours are from 7 a.m. to 4 p.m., which is the same as for Lone Tree Elementary School (our host campus).

Independent Study students follow a 180-day instructional calendar that is broken into semesters and quarterly reporting periods. Because teachers set individual home appointments, they can see students from 8 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. on any school day.

## Physical Fitness

Students in grades five, seven, and nine take the California Fitness Test each year. This test measures students' aerobic capacity, body composition, muscular strength, endurance, and flexibility using six different tests. The table at right shows the percentage of students at our school who scored within the "healthy fitness zone" on all six tests. Our results are compared to other students' results in the county and state. More information about physical fitness testing and standards is available on the CDE Web site.

| CATEGORY | OUR <br> SCHOOL | COUNTY <br> AVERAGE | STATE <br> AVERAGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boys in Fitness Zone | N/A | $28 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Girls in Fitness Zone | N/A | $31 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Fifth graders in <br> Fitness Zone | N/A | $30 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Seventh graders in <br> Fitness Zone | N/A | $36 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Ninth graders in <br> Fitness Zone <br> All students in Fitness <br> Zone | N/A | $8 \%$ | $23 \%$ |

SOURCE: 2006-2007 physical fitness test data is produced annually as schools test their County and state averages represent elementary schools only Educational Data Systems. County and state averages represent elementary schools only.

## LEADERSHIP, TEACHERS, AND STAFF

## Leadership

Michael A. Reid has been principal of our school for four years. He also has 23 years of teaching experience. He has a Bachelor of Arts in Liberal Studies and a master's degree in Educational Administration. Mr. Reid taught grades two through eight, with an emphasis on middle school grades. He served as an associate principal for five years before becoming the director of the Wheatland Charter Academy.
The Wheatland Charter Academy is governed by a five-member board, which determines policy and the design of the academy. An executive director, director (nonvoting), a community member, a teacher, a parent member, and a military representative make up the board. It reports regularly to the Wheatland School Board of Education. Staff meetings are held every two months to determine the curricular needs of each program as well as to assess their effectiveness. Administration also meets every other month.

## Teacher Experience and Education

| KEY FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUR } \\ & \text { SCHOOL } \end{aligned}$ | COUNTY <br> AVERAGE | STATE AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teaching experience | Average years of teaching experience | 5 | 12 | 13 |
| Newer teachers | Percentage of teachers with one or two years of teaching experience | 50\% | 16\% | 11\% |
| Teachers holding an MA degree or higher | Percentage of teachers with a master's degree or higher from a graduate school | 20\% | 14\% | 33\% |
| Teachers holding a BA degree alone | Percentage of teachers whose highest degree is a bachelor's degree from a four-year college | 80\% | 86\% | 67\% |

SOURCE: Professional Assignment Information Form (PAIF), October 2006, completed by teachers during the CBEDS census. County and state averages represent elementary schools only.

About 50 percent of our teachers have less than three years of teaching experience, which is above the average for new teachers in other elementary schools in California. Our teachers have, on average, five years of experience. About 80 percent of our teachers hold only a bachelor's degree from a four-year college or university. About 20 percent have completed a master's degree or higher.
Credentials Held by Our Teachers

| KEY FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUR } \\ & \text { SCHOOL } \end{aligned}$ | COUNTY <br> AVERAGE | STATE AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fully credentialed teachers | Percentage of staff holding a full, clear authorization to teach at the elementary or secondary level | 70\% | 94\% | 97\% |
| Trainee credential holders | Percentage of staff holding an internship credential | 30\% | 4\% | 2\% |
| Emergency permit holders | Percentage of staff holding an emergency permit | 10\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| Teachers with waivers | Lowest level of accreditation, used by districts when they have no other option | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |

SOURCE: PAIF, October 2006. This is completed by teachers during the CBEDS census. County and state averages represent elementary schools only. A teacher may have earned more
than one credential. For this reason, it is likely that the sum of all credentials will exceed 100 percent.
About 70 percent of the faculty at Wheatland hold a full credential. This number is lower than the average for all elementary schools in the state. About 30 percent of the faculty at Wheatland hold a trainee credential, which is reserved for those teachers who are in the process of completing their teacher training. In comparison, two percent of elementary school teachers throughout the state hold trainee credentials. About ten percent of our faculty hold an emergency permit. Very few elementary school teachers hold this authorization statewide (just three percent). About 90 percent of the faculty at Wheatland hold the elementary (multiple-subject) credential. This number is below the average for elementary schools in California, which is 91 percent. You can find three years of data about teachers' credentials in the Data Almanac that accompanies this report.

## Indicators of Teachers Who May Be Underprepared

| KEY FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | OUR <br> SCHOOL | COUNTY <br> AVERAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Core courses taught by a <br> teacher not meeting <br> NCLB standards | Percentage of core courses not taught by a <br> "highly qualified" teacher according to federal <br> standards in NCLB | $18 \%$ | N/A |
| Teachers lacking a full <br> credential | Percentage of teachers without a full, clear <br> credential | $30 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

SOURCE: Professional Assignment Information Form (PAIF) of October 2006. Data on NCLB standards is from the California Department of Education, SARC research file.
"HIGHLY QUALIFIED" TEACHERS: The federal law known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB) requires districts to report the number of teachers considered to be "highly qualified." These "highly qualified" teachers must have a full credential, a bachelor's degree, and, if they are teaching a core subject (such as reading, math, science, or social studies), they must also demonstrate expertise in that field. The table above shows the percentage of core courses taught by teachers who are considered to be less than "highly qualified." There are exceptions, known as the High Objective Uniform State Standard of Evaluation (HOUSSE) rules, that allow some veteran teachers to meet the "highly qualified" test who wouldn't otherwise do so.
CREDENTIAL STATUS OF TEACHERS: Teachers who lack full credentials are working under the terms of an emergency permit, an internship credential, or a waiver. They should be working toward their credential, and they are allowed to teach in the meantime only if the school board approves. About 30 percent of our teachers were working without full credentials, compared to three percent of teachers in elementary schools statewide.
More facts about our teachers, called for by the recent Williams legislation of 2004, are available on our Accountability Web page, which is accessible from our district Web site. What you will find are specific facts about misassigned teachers and teacher vacancies in the 2007-2008 school year.

## Districtwide Distribution of Teachers Who Are Not "Highly Qualified"

Here, we report the percentage of core courses in our district whose teachers are considered to be less than "highly qualified" by NCLB's standard. We show how these teachers are distributed among schools according to the percentage of low-income students enrolled.
The CDE has divided schools in the state into four groups (quartiles), based on the percentage of families who qualify and apply for
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{|llcc|}\hline & & \begin{array}{c}\text { CORE } \\ \text { COURSES } \\ \text { NOT }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { CORE } \\ \text { COUGHT BY } \\ \text { TOURES } \\ \text { NOT }\end{array} \\ \text { HQT IN } \\ \text { DISTRICT }\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{ccc}\text { TAUGHT BY } \\ \text { HQT IN } \\ \text { STATE }\end{array}\right]$ free or reduced-price

SOURCE: Data is from the California Department of Education, SARC research file.
lunches. The one-fourth of schools with the most students receiving subsidized lunches are assigned to the first group. The one-fourth of schools with the fewest students receiving subsidized lunches are assigned to the fourth group. We compare the courses and teachers assigned to each of these groups of schools to see how they differ in "highly qualified" teacher assignments.
The average percentage of courses in our district not taught by a "highly qualified" teacher is two percent, compared to five percent statewide.

## Staff Development

The Wheatland Charter Academy offers ongoing staff development for teachers in both phases of the program. Instructional experts help us design an effective curriculum, and we empower teachers to pursue further training and education. Our teachers attend our host district's training programs in language arts, mathematics, and Montessori instruction. Our

| YEAR | PROFESSIONAL <br> DEVELOPMENT DAYS |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 2 0 0 7}$ | 3.0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 2 0 0 6}$ | 3.0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 2 0 0 5}$ | 3.0 | teachers participate regularly in the Step Up to Writing program as well as best teaching practices with Dr. Marilyn Bates. These programs enhance our writing program and our teaching methods in every subject.

## Evaluating and Improving Teachers

We evaluate teachers in the Montessori Academy as well as the Independent Study program annually using the California Standards for the Teaching profession. Evaluations are based on direct classroom observation, administrator-teacher conferences, student learning, and effective lesson design. Supervision is ongoing, as is training to improve instructional methods. Teachers work with the site administrator to develop an annual professional growth plan.

First- and second-year teachers are required to be registered and to participate in a support program for new teachers.

## Substitute Teachers

Our host district provides substitute teachers when they are needed. This system enables the charter school to hire and maintain substitutes that are credentialed and meet state and county requirements for substitute teaching. Emergency lesson plans are kept on file for all classrooms for substitutes who are called on short notice.

## Specialized Resource Staff

Our school may employ social workers, speech and hearing specialists, school psychologists, nurses, and technology specialists. These specialists often work part time at our school and some may work at more than one school in our district. Their schedules will change as our students' needs change. For these reasons, the staffing counts you see here may differ from the staffing provided today in this school. For more details on statewide ratios of counselors, psychologists, or other pupil services staff to students, see the California Department of Education (CDE) Web site. Library facts and frequently asked questions are also available there.

## Specialized Programs and Staff

Our school has access to psychological services, student counseling, a county truancy officer, a nurse, and a behaviorist. The availability of these professionals is conditional on the Academy remaining dependent on the charter-granting authority of the Wheatland School District. We also offer afterschool services for students who are struggling academically, access to district grant services, and technology and library programs tailored to the students of the Montessori school.
GIFTED AND TALENTED EDUCATION (GATE): Students in the fourth or fifth grade may be recommended for the GATE program based on academic achievement scores and a placement test. GATE is run in coordination with the Wheatland School District's grant services program. Courses are offered after school with a unit or specific area for enhanced instruction. Participation in the GATE program is voluntary.
SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM: Our school is fortunate to have access to the special education programs of the county as well as the host district. Speech, Resource Specialist Program, and Special Day Class programs are run concurrently for the children in the Montessori Academy on site. When appropriate, we develop Individualized Education Plans (IEPs) for eligible students. Students in the Independent Study program are evaluated in the same manner, often with County Services input.
english learner program: The California English Language Development Test (CELDT) is given annually to assess students and determine who will be best served through English language services. We provide learning programs and instruction to help students develop proficiency in English. Teachers certified in either Bilingual Cross-cultural Language and Academic Development (BCLAD) or CLAD instruct our English learners. We also use district technology programs such as Fast4Word, Systematic Instruction in Phoneme Awareness, Phonics, Sight Words, and English in a Flash to enrich their learning experience.

## CURRICULUM AND TEXTBOOKS

For more than six years, panels of scholars have decided what California students should learn and be able to do. Their decisions are known as the California Content Standards, and they apply to all public schools in the state. The textbooks we use and the tests we give are based on these content standards, and we expect our teachers to be firmly focused on them. Policy experts, researchers, and educators consider our state's standards to be among the most rigorous and challenging in the nation. You can find the content standards for each subject at each grade level on the Web site of the California Department of Education (CDE).

## Reading and Writing

By third grade, we expect our students to be able to read and write. By fourth grade, we're teaching students to read full-length books and to use a dictionary and encyclopedia when they write. By fifth grade, students should be able to write poems, plays, true-life adventures, and personal journals. You can read the California standards for English/language arts on the CDE's Web site.

## Math

Because the math standards have become more rigorous, our goal now is to prepare our elementary school graduates to start middle school ready to master algebra in the eighth grade. You can read the math standards on the CDE's Web site.

## Science

Students learn the science standards starting in first grade. The curriculum covers physical, earth, and life sciences. The scientific method of experimentation and investigation is woven through all of our science courses. Read more about the science standards on the CDE's Web site.

## Social Science

Students learn about citizenship starting in first grade. In second grade, we explore the lives of people who affect our students' everyday lives and learn about extraordinary people from history. The theme in third grade is continuity and change. California is the subject of our studies in fourth grade, and American history is our focus in fifth grade. Our students also learn about geography. They learn to research topics on their own, develop their own point of view, and interpret history. To read more about the social studies standards, see the CDE's Web site.

## Textbooks

We choose our textbooks from lists that have already been approved by state education officials. For a list of some of the textbooks we use at our school, see the Data Almanac that accompanies this report.
We have also reported additional facts about our textbooks called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. This online report shows whether we had a textbook for each student in each core course during the 2007-2008 school year, and whether those textbooks covered the California Content Standards.

## RESOURCES

## Buildings

The Wheatland Charter Academy's Montessori program shares seven classrooms and one office on the Lone Tree Elementary Campus located at Beale Air Force Base. Facility maintenance is performed annually, and the report is filed at the Wheatland School District office. The Wheatland School District has discussed modifications of this facility, but at this time no recommendations have been made or a timeline set for modernization. For 2007-2008, the campus will undergo an electrical source update.

Teachers usually meet Independent Study students at their own homes unless otherwise requested by the parent or guardian.
More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC), and were brought about by the legislation known as Williams. If you'd like to see the six-page survey form used for the assessment, you will find it on the Web site of the OPSC.

## Library

Montessori students can use the Lone Tree School library, and they have all rights and privileges associated with its use. Montessori classes have a weekly library time and access to over 12,000 books. Books are grouped according to reading ability. A full-time librarian is available.
Independent Study students may request books from the district libraries or they can purchase materials from their parent-student budgets.

## Computers

We have 32 computers available for student use, which means that, on average, there is one computer for every five students. There are seven classrooms connected to the Internet.

| RESOURCES | OUR <br> SCHOOL | COUNTY <br> AVERAGE | STATE <br> AVERAGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students per computer | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Internet-connected classrooms | 7 | 18 | 30 |

SOURCE: CBEDS census of October 2006. County and state averages represent elementary schools only.

Montessori classrooms each have four classroom computers, and teachers also maintain a stand-alone or laptop computer. Two technology labs with over 30 student computers are available for teachers, and they are used weekly by each grade level. We have a variety of interactive student programs.
We provide Independent Study teachers with laptop computers, and they can access the Internet to update student records and communicate by email. Students in the Independent Study program may request technology assistance under the supervision of the program director.

## Parent Involvement

Parents of Montessori students are involved in the Montessori Academy at each grade level. Parents have a representative on the Governing Council that oversees the charter school. Parents organize and participate in Back-to-School nights, fund-raising, Open House, field trips, and holiday programs.

Independent Study students enjoy having their parent as their primary teacher. Parents and students work together to set up a parent-student budget for the student to use to buy educational materials.

## DISTRICT EXPENDITURES

| CATEGORY OF EXPENSE | OUR DISTRICT | SIMILAR DISTRICTS | ALL DISTRICTS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FISCAL YEAR 2005-2006 |  |  |  |
| Total expenses | $\$ 14,506,844$ |  |  |
| Expenses per student | $\$ 10,659$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N/A |
| FISCAL YEAR 2004-2005 |  |  | $\$ 7,229$ |
| Total expenses | $\$ 13,629,529$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| Expenses per student | $\$ 9,728$ | $\$ 6,897$ | N/A |

SOURCE: Fiscal Services Division, California Department of Education.
Our district spent an average of $\$ 10,659$ per student in the 2005-2006 school year, compared to an average of $\$ 7,229$ per student spent by similar (elementary school district) districts in the state. Our total operating expenses for the 2005-2006 year were $\$ 14,506,844$. Facts about the 2006-2007 fiscal year were not available at the time we published this report. Additional details about our expenditures can be found on the Ed-Data Partnership's Web site.
Total expenses include only the costs related to direct educational services to students. This figure does not include food services, land acquisition, new construction, and other expenditures unrelated to core educational purposes. The expenses-per-student figure is calculated by dividing total expenses by the district's average daily attendance (ADA). More information is available on the CDE's Web site.

## District Salaries, 2005-2006

This table reports the salaries of teachers and administrators in our district for the 2005-2006 school year. More current information was not available at the time we published this annual report. This table compares our average salaries to those in districts like ours, based on both enrollment and the grade level of our students. In addition, we report the percentage of our district's total budget dedicated to teachers' and administrators' salaries. The costs of health insurance, pensions, and other indirect compensation are not included.

| SALARY INFORMATION | DISTRICT <br> AVERAGE | STATE <br> AVERAGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning teacher's <br> salary | $\$ 34,571$ | $\$ 38,159$ |
| Midrange teacher's salary | $\$ 62,645$ | $\$ 59,148$ |
| Highest-paid teacher's <br> salary | $\$ 73,885$ | $\$ 73,514$ |
| Average principal's salary <br> (elementary school) | $\$ 90,147$ | $\$ 91,903$ |
| Superintendent's salary | $\$ 124,273$ | $\$ 132,994$ |
| Percentage of budget for <br> teachers' salaries | $35 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Percentage of budget for <br> administrators' salaries | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| source: This financial data is from the statevide Average Salaries and Expenditure <br> Percentages report, 2005-2006, the fiscal services Division, cDE. |  |  |

## SCHOOL EXPENDITURES

The Wheatland Charter Academy is funded through California Senate Bill 740. This yearly funding model is structured for those charter schools that provide nonclassroom learning and that do not own or lease their own facilities. The Wheatland School District allows Wheatland Charter Academy to use the facility as a dependent charter school. We also receive funding for class-size reduction for kindergarten through third grade.
A new law passed in 2005 required schools to report school-specific expenditures for the first time. In prior years, schools reported only the districtwide average for these expenditures. This year we have provided a comparative analysis of our school's expenditures, along with the average salaries of our teachers. You can view this information from the preceding links or on our Accountability Web page, which is accessible through our district's Web site.

TECHNICAL NOTE ON DATA RECENCY: All data is the most current available as of March 2008. The CDE may release additional or revised data for the 2006-2007 school year after the publication date of this report. We rely on the following sources of information from the California Department of Education: California Basic Education Data System (CBEDS) (October 2006 census); Language Census (March 2007); California Achievement Test and California Standards Tests (spring 2007 test cycle); Academic Performance Index (October 2007 growth score release); Adequate Yearly Progress (October 2007).
DISCLAIMER: School Wise Press, the publisher of this accountability report, makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of this information but offers no guarantee, express or implied. While we do our utmost to ensure the information is complete, we must note that we are not responsible for any errors or omissions in the data. Nor are we responsible for any damages caused by the use of the information this report contains. Before you make decisions based on this information, we strongly recommend that you visit the school and ask the principal to provide the most up-to-date facts available.

## Data Almanac

This Data Almanac provides more detailed information than the School Accountability Report Card or data that covers a period of more than one year. It presents the facts and statistics in tables without narrative text. We hope it provides information that will be useful to your school community.

## STUDENT AND TEACHERS

## Student Enrollment by Ethnicity and Other Characteristics

The ethnicity of our students, estimates of their family income and education level, their English fluency, and their learning-related disabilities.

| GROUP | ENROLLMENT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of students | 157 |
| African American | $6 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $3 \%$ |
| Asian | $4 \%$ |
| Filipino | $6 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | $15 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $1 \%$ |
| White (not Hispanic) | $61 \%$ |
| Multiple or no response | $3 \%$ |
| Socioeconomically disadvantaged | $13 \%$ |
| English learners | $4 \%$ |
| Students with disabilities | $10 \%$ |

SOURCE: All but the last three lines are from the annual census, CBEDS, Octobe
SOURCE: All but the last three lines are from the annual census, CBEDS, October
2006. Data about students who are socioeconomically disadvantaged, English learners, and learning disabled come from the School Accountability Report Card
unit of the California Department of Education.
unit of the California Department of Education.

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Number of students enrolled in each grade level at our school.

| GRADE LEVEL | STUDENTS |
| :--- | :---: |
| Kindergarten | 39 |
| Grade 1 | 34 |
| Grade 2 | 18 |
| Grade 3 | 21 |
| Grade 4 | 11 |
| Grade 5 | 6 |
| Grade 6 | 0 |
| Grade 7 | 0 |
| Grade 8 | 2 |
| Grade 9 | 3 |
| Grade 10 | 9 |
| Grade 11 | 7 |

SOURCE: CBEDS, October 2006.

Average Class Size by Grade Level

| GRADE LEVEL | 2004-2005 | 2005-2006 | 2006-2007 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | 14 | 20 | 19 |
| Grade 1 | 19 | N/A | 17 |
| Grade 2 | N/A | 16 | 18 |
| Grade 3 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Grade 4 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Grade 5 | 16 | 13 | N/A |
| Grade 6 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Grade 7 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Grade 8 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Combined K-3 | 20 | 20 | 21 |
| Combined 3-4 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Combined 4-8 | N/A | N/A | 17 |
| Other | N/A | N/A | N/A |

SOURCE: CBEDS, October 2006.
Average Class Size by Grade Level, Detail
The number of classrooms that fall into each range of class sizes.

| GRADE LEVEL | 2004-2005 |  |  | 2005-2006 |  |  | 2006-2007 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ | 1-20 | 21-32 | $33+$ |
| Kindergarten | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Grade 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Grade 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Grade 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grade 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grade 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Combined K-3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Combined 3-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

SOURCE: CBEDS, October 2006.

## Teacher Credentials

The number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential and without a full credential, for both our school and the district.

|  | SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEACHERS | $2004-2005$ | 2005-2006 | 2006-2007 |  | 2006-2007 |
| With Full Credential | 6 | 6 | 7 | 77 |  |
| Without Full Credential | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 |  |

SOURCE: CBEDS, October 2006, Professional Assignment Information Form (PAIF) section.

## STUDENT PARFORMANCE

## California Standards Tests (CST)

The California Standards Tests (CST) show how well students are learning what the state content standards require. The CST include English/language arts and mathematics in grades two through five and science in grade five.

## CST Results for All Students: Three-Year Comparison

The percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most current three-year period.

| SUBJECT | SCHOOL <br> PERCENT PROFICIENT OR ADVANCED |  |  | DISTRICT <br> PERCENT PROFICIENT OR ADVANCED |  |  | STATE <br> PERCENT PROFICIENT OR ADVANCED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| English/ <br> Language Arts | 42\% | 49\% | 56\% | 55\% | 55\% | 53\% | 40\% | 42\% | 43\% |
| Mathematics | 47\% | 61\% | 62\% | 51\% | 52\% | 56\% | 38\% | 40\% | 40\% |
| Science | 21\% | 31\% | 21\% | 36\% | 41\% | 55\% | 27\% | 35\% | 38\% |

SOURCE: California Standards Tests (CST) results, spring 2007 test cycle, as interpreted and published by the CDE unit responsible for School Accountability Report Cards.
CST Results by Student Group: Most Recent Year
The percentage of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

|  | PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS SCORING PROFICIENT OR <br> ADVANCED |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STUDENT GROUP | ENGLISH/ <br> LANGUAGE <br> ARTS <br> $2006-2007$ | MATHEMATICS <br> 2006-2007 | SCIENCE <br> 2006-2007 |
| African American | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Asian | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Filipino | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | $54 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Pacific Islander | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| White (not Hispanic) | $53 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Boys | $59 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Girls | $52 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Economically disadvantaged | $64 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| English Learners | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Students with disabilities | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Students receiving migrant education |  |  |  |
| services | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

SOURCE: California Standards Tests (CST) results, spring 2007 test cycle, as interpreted and published by the CDE unit responsible for School Accountability Report Cards.

## California Achievement Test, Sixth Edition (CAT/6)

The California Achievement Test, Sixth Edition (CAT/6), a national, norm-referenced test, shows how well students are doing compared to students nationally in reading, language, spelling, and mathematics. It is taken only by third and seventh graders. We report only reading and math below. The results are reported as the percentage of students scoring at or above the national average (the 50th percentile).

## CAT/6 Test Results for Third Grade Students: Three-Year Comparison

The percentage of students scoring at or above the national average in reading and mathematics for the most current three-year period.

|  | SCHOOL PERCENT PROFICIENT OR ADVANCED |  |  | DISTRICT <br> PERCENT PROFICIENT OR ADVANCED |  |  | STATE <br> PERCENT PROFICIENT OR ADVANCED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUBJECT | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Reading | 47\% | 56\% | 74\% | $61 \%$ | 60\% | 57\% | 41\% | 42\% | 42\% |
| Mathematics | 60\% | 69\% | 95\% | 63\% | 63\% | 67\% | 52\% | 53\% | 53\% |

SOURCE: The California Achievement Test, Sixth Edition, spring 2007 test cycle, as interpreted and published by the CDE unit responsible for School Accountability Report Cards.

## CAT/6 Test Results for Third Grade Students by Group: Most Recent Year

The percentage of students, by group, scoring at or above the national average (the 50th percentile) in reading and mathematics for the most recent testing period.

|  | PERCENT PROFICIENT OR <br> ADVANCED |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| STUDENT GROUP | READING <br> $2006-2007$ | MATHEMATICS <br> 2006-2007 |
| African American | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Asian | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Filipino | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Pacific Islander | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| White (not Hispanic) | $75 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Boys | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Girls | $85 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| Economically disadvantaged | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| English learners | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Students with disabilities | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Students receiving migrant | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| education services |  |  |

SOURCE: The California Achievement Test, Sixth Edition, spring 2007 test cycle, as interpreted and published by the CDE unit responsible for School Accountability Report Cards.

## ACCOUNTABILITY

## California Academic Performance Index (API)

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found on the CDE Web site at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

## API Ranks: Three-Year Comparison

The state assigns statewide and similar-schools API ranks for all schools. The API ranks range from 1 to 10 . A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest 10 percent of all elementary schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest 10 percent of all elementary schools in the state. The similar-schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched schools with similar teachers and students.

| API RANK | 2004-2005 | 2005-2006 | 2006-2007 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide rank | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| Similar-schools rank | N/A | N/A | N/A |

SOURCE: The API Base Report from July 2007.

## API Changes by Student Group: Three-Year Comparison

API changes for all students and student groups: the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

|  | ACTUAL API CHANGE |  |  |  | API SCORE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STUDENT GROUP | $2004-2005$ | $2005-2006$ | $2006-2007$ |  | $2006-2007$ |
| All students at the school | +59 | +15 | +20 |  | 761 |
| African American | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Asian | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Filipino | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Pacific Islander | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| White (non Hispanic) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Economically disadvantaged | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| English learners | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Students with disabilities | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

SOURCE: The API Growth Report as released in the Accountability Progress Report in March 2008.

## Federal Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and Intervention Programs

The federal law known as No Child Left Behind requires that all schools and districts meet all three of the following criteria in order to attain Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):
(a) a 95-percent participation rate on the state's tests; (b) a CDE-mandated percentage of students scoring Proficient or higher on the state's English/language arts and mathematics tests; and (c) an API of at least 590 or growth of at least one point.

## AYP for the District

Whether the district met the federal requirement for AYP overall, and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

| AYP CRITERIA | DISTRICT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Overall | Yes |
| Graduation rate | Yes |
| Participation rate in English/language arts | Yes |
| Participation rate in mathematics | Yes |
| Percent Proficient in English/language arts | Yes |
| Percent Proficient in mathematics | Yes |
| Met Academic Performance Index (API) | Yes |

SOURCE: The AYP Report as released in the Accountability Progress Report in March 2008.

## Intervention Program: District Program Improvement (PI)

Districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (English/language arts or mathematics) and for each grade span or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP.

| INDICATOR | DISTRICT |
| :--- | :---: |
| PI stage | Not in PI |
| The year the district entered PI | N/A |
| Number of schools currently in PI | 0 |
| Percentage of schools currently in PI | $0 \%$ |

SOURCE: The Program Improvement Report as released in the Accountability Progress Report in
March 2008. March 2008

## TEXTBOOKS

## Textbook Adoption List (tAbLE 0)

$\left.\begin{array}{|lll|}\hline \text { TITLE } & \text { SUBJECT } & \begin{array}{c}\text { DATE OF } \\ \text { PUBLICATION }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { ADOPTION } \\ \text { DATE }\end{array}\right]$

SOURCE: Textbook data is supplied by the district


[^0]:    SOURCE: AYP release of March 2008, CDE.

[^1]:    SOURCE: The scores for the CST are from the spring 2007 test cycle. State average represents elementary schools only. Whenever a school reports fewer than 11 scores for a particular subgroup at any grade level, the CDE suppresses the scores when it releases the data to the public. Missing data makes it impossible for us to compile complete schoolwide results.

